

# Quiz: MCMC

STA414/2104 - Winter 2026

## 1. Metropolis-Hastings Utility

Why is the Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm often considered more practical than Rejection Sampling or Importance Sampling, particularly in high dimensions?

- (a) MH generates independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) samples, which are statistically superior to the dependent samples from Rejection Sampling.
- (b) Rejection Sampling requires a proposal density  $q(x)$  that globally approximates the target  $p(x)$ , which is difficult to find in high dimensions, MH allows for a local proposal  $q(x'|x^{(t)})$  that does not need to look like  $p(x)$ .
- (c) MH eliminates the need to calculate the acceptance probability entirely, making the code faster to run.
- (d) MH guarantees convergence to the stationary distribution in a single step, whereas Rejection Sampling requires multiple steps.

*Correct Answer: (b)*

*Rationale: Importance and rejection sampling work only if the proposal density  $q(x)$  is similar to  $p(x)$ , and in high dimensions, it is hard to find such a  $q$ . The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm instead makes use of a proposal density  $q$  which depends on the current state  $x^{(t)}$  (local). It is not necessary that this local proposal  $q(x|x^{(t)})$  looks similar to the target  $p(x)$ .*

## 2. Detailed Balance

Which of the following statements accurately describes the “Detailed Balance” condition?

- (a) It is a necessary condition for stationarity: a Markov chain cannot have a stationary distribution unless it satisfies detailed balance.
- (b) It implies that the transition matrix  $A$  must be symmetric (i.e.,  $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ ).
- (c) It is a sufficient condition for the existence of a stationary distribution.
- (d) It requires that the Markov chain be irreducible and regular, guaranteeing that  $A^n$  has positive entries for all  $n$ .

*Correct Answer: (c)*

*Rationale: The detailed balance equations are defined as  $\pi_i A_{ij} = \pi_j A_{ji}$  for all  $i, j$ . This means that the flow  $x \rightarrow x'$  and  $x' \rightarrow x$  are equally probable. If a Markov chain satisfies detailed balance with respect to distribution  $\pi$ , then  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution (Theorem).*

### 3. Exact Hamiltonian Dynamics

In the standard HMC algorithm, we use the Leap-frog integrator to approximate the Hamiltonian dynamics. Since this approximation introduces numerical errors, a Metropolis-Hastings acceptance step is required. Suppose we could instead simulate the Hamiltonian dynamics *exactly* (i.e., with zero numerical error). What would be the acceptance probability for any proposed state?

- (a) It would be exactly 1.
- (b) It would depend on the duration of the simulation  $T$ .
- (c) It would be approximately 0.5.
- (d) It would be 0, because the system would return exactly to the start.

*Correct Answer: (a)*

*Rationale: Hamiltonian dynamics conserve the total energy (Hamiltonian), meaning  $H(x, v) = H(x', v')$ . The acceptance probability in HMC is calculated as  $\min\{1, \exp(H(x, v) - H(x', v'))\}$ . If the simulation is exact, the difference in Hamiltonian is 0, so the acceptance probability becomes  $\min\{1, e^0\} = 1$ .*

4.  $\hat{R}$

When using the  $\hat{R}$  to diagnose convergence across multiple chains, which value indicates that the chains have likely mixed well?

- (a)  $\hat{R} \approx 0$
- (b)  $\hat{R} \approx 1$
- (c)  $\hat{R} > 1.5$
- (d)  $\hat{R} = n_{eff}$

*Correct Answer: (b)*

*Rationale: The  $\hat{R}$  coefficient compares the within-sequence variance  $W$  and the between-sequence variance  $B$ . It is defined such that if chains have not mixed well,  $\hat{R}$  is larger than 1. Ideally, we want  $\hat{R}$  to be near 1 (e.g., in the lecture example, a good mixing resulted in  $\hat{R} = 1.005$ ).*